(4)

FILED
MAY 30 2006
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 05-1223

In THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

HARINDER JEET SINGH
Petitioner,

v.

U.S.SECURITY ASSOCIATES, INC.,
Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

HARINDER JEET SINGH
P.O.BOX -721025
JACKSON HEIGHTS, NEW YORK- 11372
1347-247-1015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

INTRODUCTION---------- 1

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT---------- 1-3

CONCLUSION---------- 3

INTRODUCTION

I standby to all the statements contained in my petition for writ of certiorari which are given under oath. The respondent failed to respond to my petition itemwise because their defense is utterly frivolous and they did not provide even one evidence to prove their side in lower courts, but lied from beginning to end, got into conspiracies and understanding with others to commit fraud as described in my petition under questions presented to cover up the heights of discrimination the defendant did against me. The lower courts missed, overlooked and completely ignored most of the information and my concrete evidences which are all in the record.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

(1).With reference to my petition Introductory statement which clearly explains that open fire safety director positions were denied to me and were given to all blacks as I was the senior most in service with all required paperwork but positions were denied to me.
(2).Finally when the position was given to me by the respondent after the expiration of the arbitrators award which was later taken away from me when the respondent forcibly terminated my 5 years and approximately 7 months of employment in a cruel manner on 04/21/04.
(3).I suffered emotional distress for years as explained in my petition under questions presented item#12 and currently suffering from severe depression and under the treatment by the doctor since 02/19/2005.

(4).Regarding the respondents lies, falsifications, fraud in conspiracy and understanding with others, and cover up of discrimination all I explained in detail in my petition under questions presented and the respondent failed to explain their side and answer my petition itemwise which I submitted to lower courts with concrete evidences where respondent was involved in the same.

(5).Regarding the fraud experience given to Brunel Alfred by former operations manager of the respondent David Morales to whom second open position of Fire Safety Director was given when he was not even eligible for that position and I explained that all in my (Plaintiff's motion in opposition to defendants summary judgment) Page 13 to 14 and to my complaint to Fire Dept. regards to this where Fire Dept. issued a letter dated May 4, 2006 where it was indicated that they did not take any action based on statutory limitations but it is clear that Fire Dept. understands the contents of my complaint. This individual with Fraud experience still working with the company and they forcibly terminated my employment. I mentioned the same to court of appeals in my brief for plaintiff appellant page 5 to 6.

(6).Respondent failed to explained regarding my statements contained under statements of the case item 1 to 3 where the respondent violated the terms and conditions of the EEOC settlement agreement. I provided all evidences to the district court.

(7).Also the appendix A which I submitted to this Hon. Court on page 17 the respondent failed to submit to court of appeals and set forth the reasons why the open FSD position was not given to me before.

(8).With the forcible termination by the respondent I am left with no reference and I am still unemployed and did not earn even one cent while working since 04/22/04 and passing through a very difficult time as I support my family and my little son. My employment history and my livelihood is completely ruined by the defendant and my family is destroyed. The act of the respondent had a crushing impact on me and my family and it was done for no reason at all.

(9).The previous courts missed, overlooked and ignored most of the information which I supplied under oath with concrete evidences which are in the record where fraud was committed by the individuals in doing and cover up of the heights of discrimination the defendant did against me which does not satisfy the lower court judgments. Detailed explanation given in "questions presented" section of my petition for writ with all references to the record and exhibits mentioned therein.

(10). I could get any punishment from this Hon.Court if any of my statements are not true.

CONCLUSION

The respondent should not get away with the Fraudulent representation, lying under oath which it should be liable under penalties of perjury for the same. There is a genuine issue for trial. The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted

X

Date: 5-28-06

WANDA NUNEZ
Notary Public, State of New York
No 01NU6130915
Qualified in Queens County
COMMISSION EXPIRES 07/25/2009